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## Finally: Motion and Video!

Tracking objects, video analysis, low level motion


## Motion vs. Stereo: Similarities/Differences

- Both involve solving
- Correspondence: disparities, motion vectors
- Reconstruction
- Motion:
- Uses velocity: consecutive frames must be close to get good approximate time derivative
- 3d movement between camera and scene not necessarily single 3d rigid transformation
- Whereas with stereo:
- Could have any disparity value
- View pair separated by a single 3d transformation


## Today We Focus on: Optical Flow

## Problem Definition

Given two consecutive image frames, estimate the motion of each pixel

$I(x, y, t)$


$$
I\left(x, y, t^{\prime}\right)
$$

Estimate the motion
(flow) between these two consecutive images


## Key Assumptions

(unique to optical flow \& different from generally estimating two image view transforms!)

## Color Constancy

(Brightness constancy for intensity images)
Implication: allows for pixel to pixel comparison (not image features)

## Small Motion

(pixels only move a little bit)
Implication: linearization of the brightness constancy constraint

Approach

$I(x, y, t)$

$I\left(x, y, t^{\prime}\right)$

Look for nearby pixels with the same color
(small motion)
(color constancy)

## Assumption 1

## Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence
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## Assumption 1

## Brightness constancy

Scene point moving through image sequence


Assumption:Brightness of the point will remain the same

$$
I(x(t), y(t), t)=C
$$

Assumption 2

## Small motion



## Small motion



## Small motion



Optical flow (velocities): $(u, v) \quad$ Displacement: $(\delta x, \delta y)=(u \delta t, v \delta t)$

## Small motion



Optical flow (velocities): $(u, v) \quad$ Displacement: $(\delta x, \delta y)=(u \delta t, v \delta t)$
For a really small space-time step...

$$
I(x+u \delta t, y+v \delta t, t+\delta t)=I(x, y, t)
$$

the brightness between two consecutive image frames is the same

$$
I(x+u \delta t, y+v \delta t, t+\delta t)=I(x, y, t)
$$

For small space-time step, brightness of a point is the same

# $I(x+u \delta t, y+v \delta t, t+\delta t)=I(x, y, t)$ 

For small space-time step, brightness of a point is the same

## Insight:

If the time step is really small, we can linearize the intensity function

$$
I(x+u \delta t, y+v \delta t, t+\delta t)=I(x, y, t)
$$

## Multivariable Taylor Series Expansion

(First order approximation, three variables)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
I(x, y, t)+\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \delta x+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \delta y+\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \delta t & =I(x, y, t) & & \begin{array}{l}
\text { assuming small } \\
\text { motion }
\end{array} \\
\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \delta x+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \delta y+\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \delta t & =0 & & \text { divide by } \delta t \\
\text { take limit } \delta t \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{d x}{d t}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d t}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}=0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{d x}{d t}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d t}+\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}=0 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Brightness } \\
& \text { Constancy Equation }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0 \quad \text { shorthand notation }
$$

$$
\nabla I^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}+I_{t}=0
$$

vector form
(putting the math aside for a second...)
What do the terms of the brightness constancy equation represent?

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$
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What do the term of the brightness constancy equation represent?

(putting the math aside for a second...)

What do the term of the brightness constancy equation represent?


How do you compute these terms?

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}
$$

spatial derivative

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}
$$

spatial derivative

Forward difference
Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\
\text { spatial derivative }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}
$$

temporal derivative

Forward difference
Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\
\text { spatial derivative }
\end{gathered}
$$

Forward difference
Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \\
\text { temporal derivative }
\end{gathered}
$$

frame differencing

## Frame differencing

| $t$ |  |  |  |  | $t+1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(example of a forward difference)

## Example:



| $t+1$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 1 1 1 1 <br> 1 1 1 1 1 <br> 1 1 1 1 1 <br> 1 1 10 10 10 <br> 1 1 10 10 10 <br> 1 1 10 $\mathbf{1 0}$ $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |

\[

\]

$$
I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}
$$



$$
I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}
$$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
\binom{I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y}}{\text { spatial derivative }}
$$

Forward difference Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$u=$| $\frac{d x}{d t} \quad v=\frac{d y}{d t}$ |
| :---: |
| optical flow |$\quad$| $I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t}$ |
| :---: |
| temporal derivative |

How do you compute this? frame differencing

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
I_{x}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \quad I_{y}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \\
\text { spatial derivative }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Forward difference
Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$$
u=\frac{d x}{d t} \quad v=\frac{d y}{d t}
$$

We need to solve for this!
(this is the unknown in the optical flow problem)

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \\
\text { temporal derivative }
\end{gathered}
$$

frame differencing

$$
I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0
$$

How do you compute ...


Forward difference
Sobel filter
Derivative-of-Gaussian filter

$(u, v)$
Solution lies on a line

Cannot be found uniquely with a single constraint

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{t}=\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \\
\text { temporal derivative }
\end{gathered}
$$

frame differencing


We need at least $\qquad$ equations to solve for 2 unknowns.


Where do we get more equations (constraints)?

## Horn-Schunck

Optical Flow (1981)
brightness constancy
small motion
‘smooth' flow
(flow can vary small from pixel to pixel)

## Lucas-Kanade Optical Flow (1981)

method of differences
‘constant’ flow
(flow is constant for all nearby pixels)
local method (sparse)

Where do we get more equations (constraints)?

## $I_{x} u+I_{y} v+I_{t}=0$

Assume that the surrounding patch (say $5 \times 5$ ) has 'constant flow'

## Assumptions:

Flow is locally smooth
Neighboring pixels have same displacement
Using a $5 \times 5$ image patch, gives us 25 equations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
I_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) u+I_{y}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) v & =-I_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) & & \\
I_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) u+I_{y}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) v & =-I_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right) & & \\
\vdots & & \text { In General, How } \\
I_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{25}\right) u+I_{y}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{25}\right) v & =-I_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{25}\right) & & \text { Many Solutions? }
\end{array}
$$

Equivalent to solving:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A^{\top} A & \hat{x} & A^{\top} b \\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{y}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{t} \\
\sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{t}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

where the summation is over each pixel $\boldsymbol{p}$ in patch $\boldsymbol{P}$

$$
x=\left(A^{\top} A\right)^{-1} A^{\top} b
$$

## When is this solvable?

$$
A^{\top} A \hat{x}=A^{\top} b
$$

When is this solvable?

## $A^{\top} A \hat{x}=A^{\top} b$

$A^{\top} A$ should be invertible
$A^{\top} A$ should not be too small
$\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ should not be too small
$A^{\top} A$ should be well conditioned
$\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{2}$ should not be too large $\left(\lambda_{1}=\right.$ larger eigenvalue $)$

Where have you seen this before?

$$
A^{\top} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{y} I_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where have you seen this before?

$$
A^{\top} A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Harris Corner Detector!

Where have you seen this before?

$$
A^{\top} A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{x} I_{y} \\
\sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{x} & \sum_{p \in P} I_{y} I_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Harris Corner Detector!

What are the implications?

## Implications

- Corners are when $\lambda 1, \lambda 2$ are big; this is also when Lucas-Kanade optical flow works best
- Corners are regions with two different directions of gradient (at least)
- Corners are good places to compute flow!
- That is why Lucas-Kanade flow is considered "local/sparse"

You want to compute optical flow.
What happens if the image patch contains only a line?

## Horn-Schunck

 Optical Flow (1981)Berthold K P Horn

## Lucas-Kanade Optical Flow (1981)

method of differences
small motion
‘smooth' flow
(flow can vary from pixel to pixel)
global method (dense)

## ‘constant’ flow

(flow is constant for all pixels)
local method (sparse)

## Smoothness

most objects in the world are rigid or deform elastically moving together coherently
we expect optical flow fields to be smooth

## Key idea

(of Horn-Schunck optical flow)

# Enforce brightness constancy 

Enforce smooth flow field

to compute optical flow
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# Enforce brightness constancy 
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For every pixel,
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## Enforce smooth flow field

to compute optical flow

## Enforce smooth flow field



Which flow field optimizes the objective? $\min _{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(u_{i, j}-u_{i+1, j}\right)^{2}$

big

small

## Key idea

(of Horn-Schunck optical flow)

# Enforce brightness constancy 

Enforce smooth flow field

to compute optical flow
bringing it all together...

## Horn-Schunck optical flow

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}} \sum_{i, j}\left\{E_{s}(i, j)+\lambda E_{d}(i, j)\right\}
$$

## HS optical flow objective function

$$
\text { Brightness constancy } \quad E_{d}(i, j)=\left[I_{x} u_{i j}+I_{y} v_{i j}+I_{t}\right]^{2}
$$

## Smoothness

$$
E_{s}(i, j)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(u_{i j}-u_{i+1, j}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{i j}-u_{i, j+1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{i j}-v_{i+1, j}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{i j}-v_{i, j+1}\right)^{2}\right]
$$




## How do we solve this minimization problem?

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}} \sum_{i, j}\left\{E_{s}(i, j)+\lambda E_{d}(i, j)\right\}
$$

Compute partial derivative, derive update equations (iterative gradient decent!)

## Final Algorithm (after some math)

1. Precompute image gradients $I_{x} I_{y}$
2. Precompute temporal gradients $I_{t}$
3. Initialize flow field $\boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0}$

$$
\boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0}
$$

4. While not converged

Compute flow field updates for each pixel:

$$
\hat{u}_{k l}=\bar{u}_{k l}-\frac{I_{x} \bar{u}_{k l}+I_{y} \bar{v}_{k l}+I_{t}}{\lambda^{-1}+I_{x}^{2}+I_{y}^{2}} I_{x} \quad \hat{v}_{k l}=\bar{v}_{k l}-\frac{I_{x} \bar{u}_{k l}+I_{y} \bar{v}_{k l}+I_{t}}{\lambda^{-1}+I_{x}^{2}+I_{y}^{2}} I_{y}
$$

Optical flow used for feature tracking on a drone
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